TOWN OF AUSTERLITZ

Columbia County New York

Lee Tilden Chairman Planning Board

Planning Board Meeting September 3, 2020 7:00 p.m.

**********AGENDA********

- 1.) Moment of Silence, Followed by the Pledge of Allegiance
- 2.) Roll Call
- 3.) Minutes
- 4.) Pl-2020-02 Boundary Line Adjustment Weiser, Allan and Kathleen
- 5.) Adjournment

SEP 0 3 2020

Planning Board Meeting

Austerlitz Planning Board Minutes of March 5, 2020 Meeting

Present: Lee Tilden (Chair), Deborah Lans, Jane Magee, Eric Sieber. Excused: Perry Samowitz. Also attending: Joe Catalano, Esq.; Jill Duffy (Applicant) and representatives; members of public.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Tilden and the group recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Sieber moved the approval of the minutes of the prior meeting, Ms. Lans seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, with Ms. Magee abstaining as she had not been present.

The Board turned to unfinished business – the Duffy Application. Mr. Tilden reviewed the history of the application. Since the last Board meeting, Mr. Didio on behalf of the Applicant has submitted the Agricultural Data Statement. Emails with comments on the application received after the public hearing was closed will be filed but not considered.

Mr. Catalano reviewed the history of the Board's consideration of the SEQRA form, and the Board then reviewed again Part 2 of the form, with the benefit of all of the information received since its initial review at the November Board meeting. First, the Board agreed the short form was sufficient and, inasmuch as section 195-31(B) of the zoning code permits the Board to waive requirements for good cause, agreed to waive any requirement for a long form given the slight impact of the use in question on the overall parcel. The Board then proceeded question-by-question to discuss and answer the questions of Part 2, as recorded on the signed and filed SEQRA form. Mr. Sieber moved to accept the short form SEQRA form as completed, Ms. Lans seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

Board members commented further that they were generally supportive of the application; were pleased to see an agricultural use resumed in the town; had all visited the site; and intended to discuss certain restrictions to meet concerns expressed by neighbors and town residents.

Mr. Catalano reviewed in detail the draft resolution he had prepared for discussion purposes. That resolution, as finally passed, is attached to these minutes. Discussion was had concerning restrictions on use, noise, lighting, and events. Additional screening was directed, and the applicant was directed to submit an amended site plan reflecting added screening of the parking area.

Ms. Magee indicated that she had reviewed the entire file including the lengthy minutes of the prior meetings (and public hearings) and, as a result, was comfortable acting on the proposed resolution.

Mr. Sieber moved to approve the resolution as reviewed and amended, Ms. Lans seconded the motion and all four members present voted to adopt the motion. Mr. Catalano will circulate

the resolution as revised during the Board's lengthy discussion, for review and acceptance by the Board as accurately depicting all revisions made by the Board.

During New Business there was a question from the public as to possible toxicity of the camping units as living space and a discussion ensued as to the nature of the units to be used. There was also discussion of the absence of a town noise ordinance and the need to revisit the Comprehensive Plan that was enacted 16 years ago.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah Lans (acting as secretary for the meeting)

Reference Material

SEP 0 3 2020

Planning Board Meeting

SEP 0 3 2020

Planning Board Meeting

Town of Austerlitz Planning Board Application for Site Plan Review/Special Use Permit

	1			REQUEST	ED :
Application	Date: 4/2/20			X MUE	E ADJUST.
Approval Reg	quest for: (check all that apply)	1144		Maria	(1)
Site Plan	Site Plan Amen	dment	Special Use Permit	150 MALI	LORY RI
Applicant:	Name: Allan + Ka+Ka Mailing Address: 15 City: 6HENT	-6 Mary	DRY RD.	They a gmai	e.com
Owner:	If different than applicant, if m	nore than one owner pro	vide information for each	on separate sheet	
	Name:	Email:			
	Street Address:				
	City:	State:Zip:	Telephone:		
	mation: Tax Map Number: SE				75
Current Land	d Use of Site:				
Current Con	dition of Site: VACAUT ACCUMMODAT OO SQ. FT.	E CONC. HOME &	EQUIRES RETE SL, DRIVEU	CLEARING AB FOR	Rocky-
Character of	f abutting parcels: 150 RESID	MALICRY DENCE)	RD = 16.0 SBL: 10 +821	09 acres 05-1-18	

Proposed Use(s) of site:			
Utilities	Multi-family project	, i	
In-Home Business	Commercial Project	Other (describe use be	elow)
Detailed Description of Pro	posed Use, including primar Ham For	y and secondary uses (use so	eparate sheet if necessary):
PERMISSION AT 140 M	D TO CRE ALLORY R	D. BY AL	JUSTINO LOT LIN 150 MALLORY R
Description of buildings to	be used height, number of s	tories, square feet:	
For residential projects inc	lude the number of dwelling	units and size in square feet	
1 670011	0801001	15	
131000	RESIDENT	ot of	
1200 99	vare fe		
TIETIN			
<i>J</i>			Reference Material
Is the property within 500 f			SFP 0 3 2020
λ/	nicipal boundary by or State Park or recreation	aither evicting or proposed	No. 1
William Town		ay, either existing or proposed	Planning Board Meeting
	or County owned building or		•
×/	-		nnel lines have been established
A /	farm operation within an Ag		
If any of the above is true	the site plan must also be re	viewed by the County Plannir	ng Board.
Applicants Signature		Date:	6/12/20
	gerleen I g	wer	/ /

32.	FC	OR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Date Received:	Pr	oject ID:	
Preliminary Review Date:		Final Review Date:	
Final Decision:	Site Plan Unnecessary	A _I	pproved
	Approved with conditio	ns D	enied

617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

SEP 0 3 2020

Planning Board Meeting

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information		
Name of Action or Project: THITALL SEPTIC SYSTEM LEECH FIELD ON SITE		
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):		
140 MALLORY RD, GHEAT, NY 12075 Brief Description of Proposed Action:		
SEPTIC SYSTEM TUSTALLATION ON SITE OF PROPOSED HOME TO BE COASTUCTED SON ON LOT OWNED BY US	P	
PROPOSED HOME TO BE CONSTRUCTED	FC	R
SON ON LOT OWNED BY US		
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: Telephone: 911 - 922	787	12
ALIAN + KATHLEEN INEISER E-Mail: Weiser, Kg	Hy	0
150 MALLORY RD. gmail.com	/(
	Code:	75
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance,	NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation?	M	
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.	X	Ш
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?	NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:		
2 · Tital · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? acres		
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned		
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 17.46 acres		
Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.		
☐ Urban ☐ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Residential (suburban)		
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other (specify):		
Parkland		

Reference Material 5. Is the proposed action, YES N/A a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? SFP U 3 2020 b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan? 6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural ŃΟ YES landscape? 7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? NO YES If Yes, identify: 8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels? ŇΟ YES b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action? c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action? 9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? NO YES If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: 10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? NO If No, describe method for providing potable water: 11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? NO YES If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: 12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic YES Places? b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area? 13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain YES wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: 14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: ☐ Shoreline Forest ☐ Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional ■ Wetland Urban Suburban 15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed NO YES by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? 16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain? YES 17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? YES If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? **TYES** b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: X NO YES

Page 2 of 4

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?						
If Y	Yes, explain purpose and size:		X			
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed						
solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe:						
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or				YES		
completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe:			X			
KN Ap	Applicant/sponsor name: Date: Date: 15/20					
	(9)	100				
Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?" Reference Material						
•	Reference Material	NT.	7.5			
	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020	No, or small	to	derate large		
	Reference Material	//	to im n			
1.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1. 2. 3.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1. 2. 3.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? Will the proposed action impact existing:	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Reference Material SEP 0 3 2020 Planning Board Meeting Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)? Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway? Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?	small impact may	to im n	large pact nay		

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may	
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potent problems?	tial for erosion, flooding or drainage			
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental r	resources or human health?			
Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.				
	Reference M	_{aterial}		
	SEP 037			
	Planning Board	n Meeting		
Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required. Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.				
Name of Lead Agency	Date			
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible O	fficer		
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different fre	om Respon	sible Officer)	

PRINT

Page 4 of 4

RESET