

# TOWN OF AUSTERLITZ

Columbia County

New York

Deborah Lans

Planning Board Chairman

## **Planning Board Meeting**

**July 7, 2022**

**7:00 p.m.**

### **\*\*\*\*\*AGENDA\*\*\*\*\***

- 1.) Public Hearing: Kipper PL-2022-12 67.-1-28.111**
- 2.) Close Public Hearing**
- 3.) Call Regular Planning Board Meeting to Order**
- 4.) Moment of Silence, Followed by the Pledge of Allegiance**
- 5.) Roll Call**
- 6.) Minutes**
- 7.) Old Business**
  - A.) PL-2022-12 Kipper**
- 8.) New Business**
  - A.) PL-2022-13 Madsen**
- 9.) Public Comment**
- 10.) Adjournment**

Kipper

PL-2022-12

67.-1-28.111

Minor Subdivision

**Town of Austerlitz  
Planning Board  
Application for Subdivision Review**

**Application Date:** 05/25/2022

**Applicant: (Property Owner)**

Name: Kipper Farm LLLP Email: mjkipper@mac.com  
Street Address: 174 Ten Broeck Road Mailing Address: same  
City: Chatham State: NY Zip: 12037 Phone Number: 917-447-6874

**Representative: (If Any)**

Name: 'Jody' Mary J Kipper Email: mjkipper@mac.com  
Phone Number: 917-447-6874

**Surveyor or Engineer:**

Name: CYNTHIA ELLIOTT  
Phone Number: 518-469-2879 License Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**Tax Map Number:** 67.-1-28.111

**Property Location: (Brief Description of Location)**

Parcel(s) between 174 Ten Broeck Road and Pierson Road

**Names of Abutting Property Owners:**

Doyle

**Easements or Restriction:**

30 Acres currently in the conservancy, 19.54 ag exempt-- both parcels' designations to remain as is.

The undersigned hereby requests approval by the Planning Board of the above identified subdivision Plat.

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]  
Title: OWNER  
Date: 05-25-2022

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  
SUBMISSION DATES and APPROVALS  
Applic, Fees & Preliminary Public Hearing SEGRA Determination Final Approval  
Project ID \_\_\_\_\_

TOWN OF AUSTERLITZ  
RECEIVED  
MAY 25 2022  
PLANNING BOARD  
COLUMBIA COUNTY



Town of Austerlitz  
Planning Board Meeting  
June 2, 2022

The Planning Board meeting was held in-person.

Present: Lee Tilden, Chair, Deborah Lans, Eric Sieber, Eric Spiegel and Jane Magee (arrived at approximately 8:00 pm), Members. Joseph Catalano, Attorney for the Town, also present.

First Public Hearing called to order at 7:00 p.m.

**Public Hearing for Planning Board Application PL-2022-01 Minor Subdivision and Boundary Line Change**

The completed application was received, the notice for Public Hearing was published and the Certified mailings went out to the abutting property owners. The Planning Board received one email in favor of the application from the owner of property, 365 Rigor Hill Road, LLC, that will be subject to the proposed boundary line change. Representative, Max Zacker, of the owner of the property to be subdivided, Austerlitz Holding Company, LLC, made a presentation that explained the proposal: to divide 3 lots off of an existing 136-acre parcel with lot A proposed at 20.25 acres; lot B proposed at 25.5 acres; and Lot C proposed at 3.365 acres. Lots A and B are improved by existing barns and Lot C is currently unimproved. Also proposed is a conveyance of 81 acres of the subject property located east of the Taconic State Parkway and south of Rigor Hill Road to the neighboring property owned by 365 Rigor Hill Road, LLC (Tax Map #94.-1-19.1) The subject property is located in the rural residential zoning district. The Board members reviewed the map provided by the Representative. A member of the public, Emily Powers, a nearby property owner spoke. She is an abutting property owner of 365 Rigor Hill Road property. She stated she did not receive a letter notice. Upon review, it was discovered that she did not abut the property to be subdivided which was the reason she did not receive the notice. She voiced concerns about the additional property being added to the 365 Rigor Hill Road property and particularly about that leading to additional hunting activities and code compliance. She was advised that the subdivision law does not direct the use of the property and if she had code violation concerns to inform the Town CEO of same. No other public comment was received.

As no further comments were made, a motion to close the Public Hearing for the Austerlitz Holding Company, LLC, application was made by E. Spiegel and seconded by E. Sieber.

Roll Call

Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent

Motion carried 4:0:1 Public Hearing closed at 7:15 p.m.

Second Public Hearing called to order at 7:15 p.m.

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

**Public Hearing for Planning Board Application PL-2022-10 Minor Subdivision Reginald Brantner and Deborah Brantner-Jones**

The completed application was received, the notice for Public Hearing was published and the certified mailings went out to the abutting property owners. The Planning Board did not receive any written comments or emails on this application. Representative, Fred Haley, surveyor for the owners made a presentation that explained the proposal: The applicant proposes to divide 2 lots off of an existing 10.324-acre parcel with Lot 1 proposed at 4.371 acres and Lot 2 proposed at 5.953 acres. Proposed Lot 1 is improved by an existing residence and proposed Lot 2 is currently unimproved. The subject property is located in the Austerlitz-Hamlet (A-HM) zoning district. The Board members reviewed the revised map provided by the Representative. He added notes on the map pursuant to the Planning Board's discussions at the previous meeting. No members of the public spoke about this application. Discussion ensued about the private cemetery located in the middle of the property and that this would not change since there was recorded access to the cemetery.

As no further comments were made, a motion to close the Public Hearing for the Austerlitz Holding Company, LLC, application was made by E. Sieber and seconded by E. Spiegel.

Roll Call

Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent

Motion carried 4:0:1 Public Hearing closed at 7:25 p.m.

Regular Meeting called to order at 7:26 p.m.

Moment of Silence, followed by Pledge of Allegiance.

**Minutes**

A motion to accept the May 2022 Public Hearing and Regular Planning Board Meeting minutes was made by E. Spiegel and seconded by E. Sieber.

Voice vote

Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: abstained  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent  
Motion carried 3:0:2

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

**Old Business**

**Planning Board Application PL-2022-01 Minor Subdivision and Boundary Line Change - Austerlitz Holding Company, LLC**

After a brief summary of the procedures, J. Catalano went through the short form EAF with the Board members and read aloud the questions on part 2 of the EAF. The Board members answered each question as no or small impact. The Board members agreed that the conclusion of the EAF analysis is that the proposed action would have no significant adverse impacts.

A motion was made by E. Sieber, to accept the Environmental Assessment Form as completed by the Planning Board tonight with the conclusion that the project will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts, and seconded by D. Lans.

Roll Call:  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent  
Motion carried 4:0:1

A motion to adopt a resolution was made by E. Sieber, to approve the subdivision and lot line change map for the Property of Austerlitz Holding Company, LLC as prepared by Robert J. Ihlenburg, Land Surveyor, dated April 21, 2022, as presented to the Planning Board, and seconded by E. Spiegel:

Roll Call:  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegler: yes  
Jane Magee: absent  
Motion carried 4:0:1

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

### **Planning Board Application PL-2022-10 Minor Subdivision Reginald Brantner and Deborah Brantner-Jones**

J. Catalano went through the short form EAF with the Board members and read aloud the questions on part 2 of the EAF. The Board members answered each question as no or small impact. The Board members agreed that the conclusion of the EAF analysis is that the proposed action would have no significant adverse impacts.

A motion was made by E. Sieber, to accept the Environmental Assessment Form as completed by the Planning Board tonight with the conclusion that the project will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts, and seconded by D. Lans.

Roll Call:  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes



Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent  
Motion carried 4:0:1

A motion to adopt a resolution was made by D. Lans, to approve the 2-lot subdivision for the Property of Reginald Brantner and Deborah Brantner-Jones as indicated on the survey prepared by Frederick Haley, Land Surveyor, as revised and presented to the Planning Board this evening, and seconded by E. Sieber:

Roll Call:  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: absent  
Motion carried 4:0:1

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

**Proposed 2-lot subdivision and request for road waiver by Oleynek family**

(Jane Magee arrived at the time this application was discussed)

Paula (Oleynek) Bednarcik, appeared on behalf of the Oleynek family and made a presentation concerning their recently submitted application for a 2-lot subdivision which involves breaking off a 5.4 acre parcel located on the west side of the private road from what is referred to as Parcel 2 (consisting of a total of 35.647 acres) on the subdivision map approved by the Planning Board in 2008. They need a waiver of the condition on the approved subdivision map that states no further subdivision of any lot may be approved unless the road is brought up to Town road specifications which includes widening and paving. The applicants will request a waiver of those requirements from the Town Board and Highway Superintendent (those requirements having subsequently been codified in the Town Code). They would like to start the process without a full survey of the proposed subdivision so as to avoid the expense of the survey if the road waivers are not granted. After discussion by the Planning Board members and attorney, and as an accommodation, the Board decided to accept the subdivision application for the sole purpose of referring the matter to the Town Board and Highway Superintendent for consideration of the requested waiver. If the decision of the Town Board and Superintendent is to grant the waiver, then the Planning Board will require the survey before proceeding any further. If the waiver is not granted by either entity, the Planning Board would have no authority to proceed further.

A motion was made by E. Spiegel, to accept the subdivision application for the sole purpose of referring the matter to the Town Board and Superintendent on the issue of the road waiver, and seconded by D. Lans.

Roll Call:  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes



Jane Magee: yes  
Motion carried 5:0

**New Business**

**Planning Board Application PL-2022-12 Kipper Farms, LLLP**

Jody Kipper presented the application and proposed subdivision map explaining that the proposed 2-lot subdivision (19.54 and 30.01 acres respectively) was returning the property to its original status when she first bought the property. Those two lots were subsequently merged together and she now wishes to separate them again for financing purposes. They both would be continued with the same uses as present which is agricultural and residential.

The Board members reviewed the drawings and spoke with Ms. Kipper regarding the parcel being subdivided and the use of the property.

A motion to accept the application as complete was made by E. Sieber seconded by J. Magee.

Roll call  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: yes  
Motion carried 5:0

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

A motion to designate application PL-2022-07 an Unlisted Action for SEQRA purposes was made by J. Magee and seconded by E. Eric Spiegel.

Roll call  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: yes  
Motion carried 5:0

A motion to set a public hearing, as the first order of business at the July 7th meeting at 7:00 pm, for Planning Board Application PL-2022-07 was made by D. Lans and seconded by E. Sieber.

Roll call  
Lee Tilden: yes  
Deborah Lans: yes  
Eric Sieber: yes  
Eric Spiegel: yes  
Jane Magee: yes  
Motion carried 5:0

**Adjournment**

A motion to adjourn was made by E. Sieber and seconded by Eric Spiegel.

Motion carried 5:0 by voice vote: Meeting adjourned at 8:15p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Joseph Catalano, Attorney for Town

*Reference Material*  
*JUL 07 2022*  
*Planning Board Meeting*

Madsen

PL-2022-13

86.-2-32.200

Boundary Line Adjustment and Parcel Merger



Town of Austerlitz  
Planning Board  
Application for Subdivision Review

Application Date: 7/5/2022

**Applicant: (Property Owner)**

Name: Madsen Overhead Doors, Inc Email: info@madsenoverhead doors.com

Street Address: 673 Route 203 Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: Spencertown State: NY Zip: 12165 Phone Number: 518-392-3883

Reference Material

JUL 07 2022

Planning Board Meeting

**Representative: (If Any)**

Name: Michael Madsen Email: Mike@madsenoverheaddoors.com

Phone Number: 518-653-8600

**Surveyor or Engineer:**

Name: R. Sardo Land Surveying Services, PLLC

Phone Number: 518-821-9547 License Number: 050560

Tax Map Number: 86.00-2-32.200

Property Location: (Brief Description of Location)  
673 Route 203

**Names of Abutting Property Owners:**

Guy A Madsen & Patricia A Madsen

Lisa Weisinger Manne

**Easements or Restriction:**

The undersigned hereby requests approval by the Planning Board of the above identified subdivision Plat.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 7/5/22

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Project ID \_\_\_\_\_

SUBMISSION DATES and APPROVALS

Applic, Fees &  
Preliminary

Public  
Hearing

SEQRA  
Determination

Final  
Approval

617.20  
Appendix B  
Short Environmental Assessment Form



**Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information.** The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

<b>Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information</b>			
Name of Action or Project:			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): 673 Route 203 - Spencertown, NY 12165			
Brief Description of Proposed Action: Adjust property boundry lines of Madsen OHD and Fox Hill Campground  <i>AND combine (3) parcels into 1</i>			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: Madsen Overhead Doors, Inc. - Guy A Madsen		Telephone: 518-653-8600 E-Mail: Mike@madsenoverheaddoors.com	
Address: 673 Route 203			
City/PO: Spencertown		State: NY	Zip Code: 12165
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.			NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:			NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?		2,658 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		0 acres	
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		67.61 acres	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action. <input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Rural (non-agriculture) <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (suburban) <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Parkland			

Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	NO	YES	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	NO	YES	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	NO	YES	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: <input type="checkbox"/> Shoreline <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural/grasslands <input type="checkbox"/> Early mid-successional <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	NO	YES	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: _____	NO	YES	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	



18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE</b>		
Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Michael Madgen</u>	Date: <u>7/5/22</u>	
Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		

**Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2.** Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:		
a. public / private water supplies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3.** For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

**Reference Material**  
 JUL 07 2022  
 Planning Board Meeting

<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.	
_____	_____
Name of Lead Agency	Date
_____	_____
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
_____	_____
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

**PRINT**

**RESET**

**MADSEN OVERHEAD DOORS, INC.**

673 Route 203  
Spencertown, N.Y. 12165

Phone: 518-392-3883 -- Fax: 518-392-3887

[Info@madsenoverheaddoors.com](mailto:Info@madsenoverheaddoors.com)

7/5/22

To Whom it May Concern:

I Guy A. Madsen and I Patricia A Madsen authorize Michael D Madsen to act on our behalf with the Town of Austerlitz for all matters pertaining to the boundary line adjustments on our properties within the Town of Austerlitz.

Sincerely,

Guy A. Madsen



Patricia A, Madsen



Reference Material  
JUL 07 2022  
Planning Board Meeting